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## Introduction

- Protein-protein docking troublesome due to lárge conformational space and imperfect scoring functions
- experimental constraints can be key in producing close native models
- NMR, FRET
- Chemical cross linking coupled to mass spectronetry (XUMS) is another means to obtain distance information
- Often a flexible linear cross sinker molecule with reactive ester sites on bothends is used to cross link lysine pairs

- As the cross linker hás a certain length, finding two lysine residues to be cross-linked yields an upper bound on their distance in Cartesian space.


## General MS based cross-linking workflow



Rinner, O. et al. Identification of cross-linked peptides from large sequence databases. Nat Methods 5,315-318 (2008)
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Human prothrombin (1dx5-E)

## Xwalk - Algorithm I

- PDB Id: 1jek, triple hairpin motif of Visna virus fusion protein
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## Xwalk-Algorithm V

* Label all grid cells of protein



## Xwalk-Algorithm VI

- Label all remaining gific ceells



## Xwalk - Algorithm VII

- Breadth-First Search
* Assign grid cells of \&-amine-groups the distance-o



## Xwalk - Algorithm VIII

- Assign distances to neighbourthes grid cellis



## Xwalk-Algorithm IX

- Assign distances to neighbouringsifi cells



## Xwalk - Algorithm X

- Assign distances to neighbouringsifi cells



## Xwalk-Algorithm XI

- Read out distance to



## www.xwalk.org



Example: ALDOA_RABIT

1. Choose your Running Mode:
© Validation Mode
Validate measured chemical cross-links on a protein 3D structure.
2. Choose your Input File or ID: ?

Upload PDB file (max. 1MB):
Choose File no file selected
or

Production Mode

Predict potential chemical cross-links using a protein 3D structure.

Give protein identifier: $\square$ PDB ID :
3. Set your Cross-Link Parameter:

1st residue in cross-links: Lys $\quad$,
2nd residue in cross-links: Lys :

Index \begin{tabular}{c|c|c|}
\hline Number of ist <br>
Residue

$\quad$

Number of and <br>
Residue
\end{tabular}

## Neyリs

- Non-polypeptide molecules are removed from PDB files, which could cause Xwalk to crash. (25/06/11)
- A limitation on the maximum number (=150) of SASD calculations for a single protein structure has been placed. This step was necessary to save computer resources on our server as some proteins can have more than 2000 potential vXL. Users still interested in calculating SASD for very large proteins/complexes are advised to download the Xwalk executable.

1. Kahraman, A., Malmström, L. \& Aebersold, R. Xwalk: Computing and Visualizing Distances in Cross-linking Experiments. Bioinformatics 27, 2163-2164 (2011)
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- Test Run (Check for errors in submitted structures)
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- Choose minimum energy structure of fo relaxation run


Crystal Structure
Intemediate Relaxation

## XLdock - Automated Docking Pipeline

- Test Run (Check for errors in submitted structures)
* Relax each protein component of the complex
- Execution time estimation forglobal sampling
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\begin{aligned}
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## XLdock - Automated Docking Pipeline

- Test Run (Check for errors in submitted structures)
* Relax each protein component of the complex
- Execution time estimation for global sampling
- RosettaDock global sampling 100000 decoys in centroid mode
- Filtering decoys by SASD with Xwalk
* Extract top:500 decoys withilowest Rosetta score
- Filter by:BSA 900 A (Janinetali)
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* Quality threshold clustering and choosing largest three clusters
- Execution time estimation for local sampling
* Rosetta local sampling - $3 \times 5000$ decoys in full-atom mode

$\begin{aligned} & =\text { native complex } \\ & =\text { decoy }\end{aligned}$
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## XLdock - Automated Docking Pipeline

- Quality threshold clustering and choosing largest three clusters
- Execution time estimation for local sampling
- Rosetta local sampling $3 \times 5000$ decoys in full atomimode
- Filtering decoys by SASD with X wall
- Extract top 500 decoys with lowest Rosetta score and filter by $B S A>900 A^{2}$
- Hierarchal custering with cuister radius $3 \AA$

$$
\text { RMSD }=0.58 \AA
$$
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## Test Cases

- Complex 1
- Barnase, Barstar (PDBibrs)
* 7 interprotein: Y S Matadex
- Complex 2 :
a. Colicin DNAse Colicin inhibitor (PDB:iuj)

4 3 inter protein $x$ 16 intraprotein X 5 monolinks all expermental
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## Colicin DNAse - Inhibitor

- Global sampling stage:
- 100,000 decoys in total
- 32,539 pass Euclideandistancéfilter
- 8865 pass X walkfilter

4 top 500 decoys
7 op 3 clustersizes 20,13011


## Colicin DNAse-Inhibitor



Cluster1:20
Q

Cluster:2:13

Cluster 3:11

## Colicin DNAse - Inhibitor

- Local sampling stage:
* $3 \times 5,000$ decoys in total
* 14,995 pass Euclidean distance filter
- 13,230 pass Xwalk filter
- top 500 decoys
- top 3 cluster sizes:24,20,i6



## Colicin DNAse-Inhibitor

- Lowest energy decoy in largest hierarchal cluster of local sampled decoys
- RMSD $=1.78 \AA$


## Colicin DNAse-Inhibitor



- Lowest energy decoy in largest hierarchal cluster of local sampled decoys
. $\mathrm{RMSD}=178 \AA$
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